

**CITY OF NORTH LAS VEGAS**  
**2010/2011 Program Year**  
**CDBG PUBLIC SERVICE APPLICATION EVALUATION CRITERIA**

**Applicant Name** \_\_\_\_\_  
**Program** \_\_\_\_\_

	<b>Total Possible</b>	<b>Total</b>
<b>1. ORGANIZATION'S CAPACITY – <i>Part II Organization Narrative</i></b>		
<b>Applicant's mission and services provided are consistent with proposed project.</b> (Yes = 5 pts No = 0 pts)	<b>5</b>	
<b>Applicant's fiscal management indicates adequate oversight capacity to provide proposed services.</b> (Yes = 5 pts No = 0 pts)	<b>5</b>	
<b>Applicant has never had funds recaptured or removed.</b> (Yes = 5 pts No = 0 pts)	<b>5</b>	
<b>Applicant has demonstrated they have the knowledge and capability to develop, implement and administer the proposed project.</b> (Excellent= 10 pts, Fair= 5 pts, Poor = 0 pts)	<b>10</b>	
<b>2. QUALITY OF PROJECT – <i>Part VI Program Narrative</i></b>		
<b>Applicant clearly describes the problem or need the project will address.</b> (Yes = 5 pts No = 0 pts)	<b>5</b>	
<b>Project addresses at least one of the City's 2025 Strategic Plan, Consolidated Plan, or Plan to End Homelessness Priorities</b> (Yes = 5 pts, No = 0 pts)	<b>5</b>	
<b>Applicant provides current data that effectively substantiates the need.</b> (Yes = 5 pts No = 0 pts)	<b>5</b>	
<b>The "best practice" model is appropriate for the target population.</b> (Yes = 5 pts No = 0 pts)	<b>5</b>	
<b>To what degree is the work to be performed, activities to be undertaken, and the services to be provided clearly described and address the above stated need.</b> (Excellent= 10 pts, Fair= 5 pts, Poor = 0 pts)	<b>10</b>	
<b>What degree of collaboration does the applicant state this program has with other services and/or community facilities addressing the same or similar problem?</b> (Excellent= 10 pts, Fair= 5 pts, Poor = 0 pts)	<b>10</b>	
<b>3. PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENTS - <i>Part VII Outcome Performance Measurements</i></b>		
<b>The project goals are clear and specific.</b> (Yes = 5 pts No = 0 pts)	<b>5</b>	
<b>The program outcomes are realistic, measurable and appear to be achievable.</b> (Yes = 5 pts No = 0 pts)	<b>5</b>	
<b>The program indicators are consistent with the program outcomes and will adequately determine if the program outcomes will be achieved.</b> (Yes = 5 pts No = 0 pts)	<b>5</b>	
<b>4. BUDGET AND COST EFFECTIVENESS – <i>Part VIII Program Budget, Part IX Budget Detail, Part X Organization Funding History, and Part XI Program Financial Narrative</i></b>		
<b>Budget and costs for program are proportionate given the type of service provided and the number of clients served.</b> (Excellent= 10 pts, Fair= 5 pts, Poor = 0 pts)	<b>10</b>	
<b>The funding history indicates that the organization has a strong history of securing local, state, federal and private dollars?</b> (Yes = 5 pts No = 0 pts)	<b>5</b>	
<b>To what degree is the applicant leveraging dollars for this program.</b> (Excellent= 10 pts, Fair= 5 pts, Poor = 0 pts)	<b>10</b>	
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>105</b>	